25X1A	Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP79B01709A002800080020-3	
1A		
	TCRS-M-30 5 November 1969	
ا ا		
	IMAGERY COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS SUBCOMMITTEE OF COMTREX	
	MINUTES OF MEETING	
	10:00 - 13:30 Wednesday, 15 October 1969	
	10:00 - 13:30 wednesday, 1) October 1909	
	PRESIDING	
(1A		
(IA	Acting Chairman	
(1A	MEMBERS PRESENT	
	Mr. J. C. St. Cyr, OACSI Mr. J. M. Urban, ONI	
1	Maj. D. Mancuso, AFNIN	
1A	Office of Chairman:	
1A		
	CONSULTANTS PRESENT	
(1)		
	OBSERVERS PRESENT	
	APPROVAL OF MINUTES	
	1. The draft minutes for meetings of 30 September and 7 October were	
	approved after minor editorial changes were made.	
	REPORT ON OPERATIONS	
(1A	2. reported there was no change to the launch schedule. Schedule is as follows:	
'4 Λ	achequie is as lollows:	
(1A		
	Group I	
	Excluded from automatic down-	

CORONA 1108 - 26 Nov 69 1109 - 18 Feb 70 SOUTH CHINA/NORTH VIETNAM PRIORITIES LIST D 3. No changes were made to the list. The DIA member stated that he would have some proposed changes for consideration at the next meeting. a. For the most part he had accepted the current intelligence priorities as submitted by the various members. b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission. 6 Targets not seen in the last 12-2 years.
a. For the most part he had accepted the current intelligence priorities as submitted by the various members. b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
a. For the most part he had accepted the current intelligence priorities as submitted by the various members. b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
a. For the most part he had accepted the current intelligence priorities as submitted by the various members. b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
a. For the most part he had accepted the current intelligence priorities as submitted by the various members. b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
SOUTH CHINA/NORTH VIETNAM PRIORITIES LIST D 3. No changes were made to the list. The DIA member stated that he would have some proposed changes for consideration at the next meeting. a. For the most part he had accepted the current intelligence priorities as submitted by the various members. b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
a. For the most part he had accepted the current intelligence priorities as submitted by the various members. b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
a. For the most part he had accepted the current intelligence priorities as submitted by the various members. b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
a. For the most part he had accepted the current intelligence priorities as submitted by the various members. b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
a. For the most part he had accepted the current intelligence priorities as submitted by the various members. b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
a. For the most part he had accepted the current intelligence priorities as submitted by the various members. b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
b. Status of coverage against the CCRP requirement was very good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
good with about a 99% satisfaction. Also a projection for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement. responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
for the next reporting period indicates a good performance. 5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
5. DIA member queried the Chairman as to the procedures used for assigning priorities against the CCRP requirement responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
priorities against the CCRP requirement responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
priorities against the CCRP requirement responded that the general framework for assigning priorities was as follows: Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
Priority 0 - 3 Current intelligence. 4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
4 - 5 CCRP categories that are or will be delinquent before the next mission.
delinquent before the next mission.
delinquent before the next mission.
6 TOPOGE NOT SEPTEM OF CHE TOST LOPE VCQLQ.
7 Targets not covered that are in
categories easy to satisfy or are
already satisfied.
8 Above CCRP targets lowered to this priority when category is satisfied
on-orbit; Non-CCRP targets not having
a current intelligence interest.
9 CCRP targets covered during required
9 CCRP targets covered during required time-frame; other targets to be photo-
9 CCRP targets covered during required
9 CCRP targets covered during required time-frame; other targets to be photo-
9 CCRP targets covered during required time-frame; other targets to be photo-
9 CCRP targets covered during required time-frame; other targets to be photographed on non-competing basis.
9 CCRP targets covered during required time-frame; other targets to be photo-

25X1A 25X1A	Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP79B01709A002800080020-3 T-O-P S-E-C-R-E-T	25X1A
25X1D	ICRS-M-30	
25X1A	7. Chairman reported that area coverage for the current 56-day period stood at 96.2%. He noted there had been 3 missions to date utilizing the IRIS II camera system. 8. reported that he was awaiting some input from NPIC, prior to drafting a recommendation to Chairman, COMIREX regarding IRIS II search criteria.	25X1A
_	TARGET UPDATES 9. The weekly transaction sheets containing: 63 Additions 30 Changes 4 Inactivates 42 Deletes 12 Reactivates were approved.	
	Acting Chairman Imagery Collection Requirements Subcommittee	25X1A
*		
	-3-	25X1A
25X1A	T-O-P S-E-C-R-E-T Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP79B01709A002800080020-3	

•	Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP79B01709A002800080020-3 T-O-P S-E-C-R-E-T	
	ICRS-M-30/69 13 October 1969	25X
	IMAGERY COLLECTION REQUIREMENTS SUBCOMMITTEE OF COMIREX	
	AGENDA	
	10:00, 15 October 1969, Room 2G-22 Headquarters	
	1. Approval of Minutes - 30 September, 7 October 1969.	
	2. Operational Support - NRO	
	3. South China/North Vietnam Priorities List (ICRS-D-6/18-6, 8 September 1969).	
	<i>λ</i> ₁ .	
	5.	
	6. Update COMIREX File - Pages 623 thru 640.	
	7. Other Business.	
	Group I	コ 25X
	d from automatic down- and declassification	
	T-O-P S-E-C-R-E-T	_